TOWN OF CORTLANDT PLANNING AND ZONING BOARDS

PLANNING BOARD MEETING

Town Hall

1 Heady Street, Cortlandt Manor, NY 10857

March 1, 2022

7:00 p.m. - 8:10 p.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Thomas Bianchi, Vice-Chair

George Kimmerling, Member

Jeff Rothfeder, Member

Steven Kessler, Member

Suzanne Decker, Member

Robert Foley, Member

Í	
1	Page 3 March 1, 2022
2	(The board meeting commenced at 7:00 p.m.)
3	MR. THOMAS BIANCHI: Welcome to the
4	March 1, 2022, town of Cortlandt planning board
5	meeting. Please rise for the pledge.
6	MULTIPLE: I pledge allegiance to the
7	flag of the United States of America and to the
8	Republic for which it stands, one nation under
9	God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for
10	all.
11	MR. BIANCHI: Before we get to the roll
12	call, I just want to mention that there are some
13	people that are attending via Zoom tonight. And
14	we ask that since there are no public hearings
15	tonight, and I'll mention the two that are going
16	to be adjourned, but since there are no public
17	hearings tonight, we're not taking any public
18	comments, so we ask that those attending via Zoom
19	not raise their hands. And roll call.
20	MR. CHRIS KEHOE: Mr. Kimmerling?
21	MR. GEORGE KIMMERLING: Here.
22	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Rothfeder?
23	MR. JEFFREY ROTHFEDER: Here.

MR. KEHOE: Mr. Kessler?

24

	Page 4
1	March 1, 2022
2	MR. STEVEN KESSLER: Here.
3	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Bianchi?
4	MR. BIANCHI: Here.
5	MR. KEHOE: Ms. Decker?
6	MS. SUZANNE DECKER: Here.
7	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Foley?
8	MR. ROBERT FOLEY: Here.
9	MR. KEHOE: Ms. Taylor noted as absent.
10	MR. BIANCHI: Okay, changes to the
11	agenda. There are two changes tonight. The first
12	one is PB 2010, 2020-10, excuse me, regarding
13	Cortlandt CSG and the community solar power
14	system. That case will be adjourned to the April
15	meeting per the applicant's request, and also, PB
16	1-16, which is Pomona Development, also at the
17	applicant's request, that hearing will be
18	adjourned to the April meeting. So, we will not
19	be discussing those applications tonight. Can I
20	have a motion to adopt the minutes of the
21	February 1 meeting?
22	MR. ROTHFEDER: So, moved.
23	MR. BIANCHI: Second?
24	MR. KIMMERLING: Second.

	Page 5
1	March 1, 2022
2	MR. FOLEY: Second.
3	MR. BIANCHI: Thank you. On the
4	question, all in favor?
5	MULTIPLE: Aye.
6	MR. BIANCHI: All opposed? Okay. I think
7	I've covered all the fun stuff. First, next on
8	the agenda I should say, is correspondence. PB
9	2019-14, a letter dated February 11, 2022, from
10	James Annicchiarico requesting the first and
11	second one year time extensions of conditional
12	site approval for 2 Bayview, LLC. Bob, you want
13	to take that?
14	MR. FOLEY: I make a motion we approve
15	resolution number 3-22.
16	MR. BIANCHI: Thank you. Do I have a
17	second?
18	MR. ROTHFEDER: Second.
19	MR. KIMMERLING: Second.
20	MR. BIANCHI: Okay. On the question, all
21	in favor?
22	MULTIPLE: Aye.
23	MR. BIANCHI: All opposed? Thank you.
24	Next, we move to resolutions. The only case we

2.3

have under resolutions is 2020-6, application of Palisades Enterprises, LLC for site plan approval, a special permit and for tree removal and steep slope permits for a proposed 2,940 square foot gas station and convenience store with six fuel pumps on approximately 1.7-acre parcel of property, located at 2058 East Main Street, Cortlandt Boulevard. Drawings latest revised December 20, 2021. John, would you like to say a few words?

MR. JOHN CANNING: Sure, good evening.

Thank you, good evening, Mr. Vice-Chairman -
MR. BIANCHI: Good evening.

MR. CANNING: -- members of the board.

We did have an opportunity to review the draft resolution. My client is comfortable and grateful for the work that you've put into it. But there was one item, resolution condition number 16, which addressed the hours of operation. My client indicates that today deliveries occur during the day, but occasionally, when there's a bad storm, or when there are supply chain issues, sometimes, he has to take an overnight delivery. And he was

2.3

wondering if the board would consider inserting the following four words before that resolution, except under exigent circumstances.

MR. BIANCHI: Okay. What item, what condition number is that?

MR. CANNING: Sixteen.

MR. BIANCHI: Sixteen?

MR. CANNING: So basically, it says there will be no deliveries from, I'm going to say 11:00 p.m. to 6:00 a.m., I'm not sure of the actual hours. And he would like you to consider modifying that to say except under exigent circumstances.

MR. FOLEY: I was going to, Mr.

Chairman, I was going to bring that up, I didn't know what you were going to say, when I read the, all the conditions, 23 of them. I wondered, being that this is a gas station with the tanker trucks coming in or at least one, I thought maybe it would be safer and more efficient if deliveries were allowed after 11:00 p.m. when there's hardly anyone there, hopefully. I just wondered about that condition. And now you're bringing something

March 1, 2022

else, which is also worthy.

item is also wereny.

MR. CANNING: My client has no objection to that. I would understand. And typically, you would do that so there would be no noise for the neighbors and things like that. And he's comfortable with that. He just would like you to consider allowing him to make deliveries overnight if he had to because there was a storm coming and he didn't want to run out of fuel and then have people looking for fuel.

MR. FOLEY: I just, I thought, I've seen deliveries, even at that existing gas station and when it's after, beyond 11:00 or midnight, it seems much safer when there's no other cars in and out. And that was my thought and you've added to it, so I have no problem with it.

MR. CANNING: If the board would like to strike the condition entirely, obviously, we'd have no objection to that.

MR. BIANCHI: I -- any other comments from board members on how they feel about that? I think adding under exigent circumstances makes sense.

1	March 1, 2022
2	MR. ROTHFEDER: Yeah, I think that's
3	fine.
4	MR. KESSLER: I think as John suggested,
5	yeah.
6	MR. CANNING: Thank you.
7	MR. KEHOE: That's fine.
8	MR. KESSLER: Okay.
9	MR. BIANCHI: Okay.
10	MR. KESSLER: So, Mr. Chairman, I move
11	that we adopt Resolution 4-22 with the additional
12	language suggested by the applicant's
13	representative.
14	MR. BIANCHI: Thank you. Second?
15	MR. ROTHFEDER: Second.
16	MR. BIANCHI: Okay. We have a second. On
17	the question?
18	MR. FOLEY: Yeah, on the question, I'm
19	glad to see condition 23 in there, 22 I guess it
20	is, on the historical, and Chris would, in other
21	words, when and if they come up with something to
22	signify the historical significance of the old
23	schoolhouse, not the Popeye's, it would go
24	through the town Historic Advisory Board?

	Dago 1
1	Page 1 March 1, 2022
2	MR. KEHOE: Correct.
3	MR. FOLEY: And they, suppose they don't
4	agree, then what happens?
5	MR. KEHOE: It would come back to you.
6	MR. FOLEY: Okay. Alright. Again, I'm
7	going to be still voting no on this for reasons
8	I've stated before. I think it could have been
9	better. I appreciate all the work you've done,
10	John, and the board.
11	MR. BIANCHI: Okay. If there are no
12	other comments, I think we're on the question.
13	All in favor?
14	MULTIPLE: Aye.
15	MR. BIANCHI: All opposed?
16	MR. FOLEY: No.
17	MR. BIANCHI: Poll the board.
18	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Kimmerling?
19	MR. KIMMERLING: Aye.
20	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Rothfeder?
21	MR. ROTHFEDER: Aye.
22	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Kessler?
23	MR. KESSLER: Aye.
24	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Bianchi?

1 March 1, 2022 2 MR. BIANCHI: Aye. 3 MR. KEHOE: Ms. Decker? 4 MS. DECKER: Aye. 5 MR. KEHOE: Mr. Foley. MR. FOLEY: 6 No. 7 MR. KEHOE: Motion carries, five to one. MR. CANNING: I would like to thank the 8 9 entire board and I look forward to seeing you 10 again on the next application. 11 MR. BIANCHI: Okay. 12 MR. CANNING: Thank you. Good night. 13 MR. BIANCHI: Good night. Okay. We're 14 going to move to new business. As I mentioned, 15 we're skipping the two cases that are going to be 16 adjourned to April. Under new business, PB 2020-17 22-3, application of James Connolly for 18 preliminary and final subdivision approval for 19 two-lot major subdivision of approximately 1.49 20 acre parcel of property, located at 49 Dutch 21 Street, drawings dated February 14, 2022. Good 22 evening. 2.3 MR. KEITH STAUDOHAR: Good evening,

Keith Staudohar of Cronin Engineering, here to

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

represent the applicant, Connolly, their property at 49 Dutch Street. This is a simple, two-lot split, with the creation of one new building lot. The existing house and driveway will remain as is. We've already been out there with the health department to do our testing for the soils for the septic for the new lot and the expansion area for the existing lot. The new lot will access onto Sycamore Court, which is formerly known as Radzavilla [phonetic] Road. The applicant has right onto that private road. It's currently serving I think three houses at the end that were built maybe ten or 15 years ago. The lot is flat. There's only two trees to be removed for this. There's no wetlands, no steep slopes. We are proposing to plant at least six trees to replace the two that we're taking down. Other than that, it's fairly straightforward. The existing lot is 1.49 acres in total. After the split, they'll each be just over 30,000 square foot per lot. So, I'd be glad to answer any questions you may have at this time.

MR. BIANCHI: Keith, could you describe

1	March 1, 2022
2	what the before and after was? I had trouble.
3	That dotted line, that rectangle, is that the
4	area that's changing? Or what's the before and
5	after site lines.
6	MR. STAUDOHAR: Are you looking for the
7	existing lot boundary?
8	MR. BIANCHI: The existing and what
9	you're proposing.
10	MR. STAUDOHAR: Alright. Chris, right
11	there, so you see that kind of an L-shaped?
12	MR. BIANCHI: Okay.
13	MR. STAUDOHAR: That's the entire lot
14	there. There's an existing house in the northern
15	corner of it. And then the split will, Chris, if
16	you can move to the next page. There, see, you'll
17	see that line of trees there. That's the new lot
18	line right there, Chris. Thank you.
19	MR. BIANCHI: I see it. Okay. And reason
20	again, like you're requesting this?
21	MR. STAUDOHAR: The subdivision?
22	MR. BIANCHI: Yeah.
23	MR. STAUDOHAR: Uh, the applicant would
24	like this is a family kind of compound. So,

2.3

March 1, 2022

they're looking to split this off so the son can build his house and live there next to the parents and the other family members.

MR. BIANCHI: Okay.

MR. KEHOE: There's an existing easement there that will provide access to the house in the back?

MR. STAUDOHAR: The existing house already has that easement and uses that paved driveway for the two houses, three houses actually. This is not going to access that. So, this is going to come out onto Sycamore Court.

MR. FOLEY: But Keith, your site pictures, photos that you submitted, I don't know if you can put them up there, but the top right one, the house, the house in question, I mean is straight through the field there, you faintly see a house? Existing house?

MR. STAUDOHAR: That would be, I don't know if I have the photos with me. I could come up there. Oh, here it is. So, which one are you looking at?

MR. BIANCHI: Well, either the top right

1	March 1, 2022
2	or the bottom left.
3	MR. KESSLER: The, the first set of
4	pictures on the
5	MR. FOLEY: The ones two of the four
6	pictures that actually show a house.
7	MR. STAUDOHAR: Alright. The one, the
8	house with kind of the reddish roof?
9	MR. FOLEY: I can't even I just see a
10	white frame.
11	MR. STAUDOHAR: Yes. So that's the
12	existing house back in the corner.
13	MR. FOLEY: So that's the, in relation
14	to the plan, okay, alright. It's, I know we site
15	visited a number of years ago, and I was just
16	trying to recollect.
17	MR. STAUDOHAR: Yeah, so those pictures
18	are taken from more towards Sycamore Court
19	looking north, northeast.
20	MR. FOLEY: Alright. I do have another
21	question. Again, it may simply be, it's on your,
22	on the long, on the short, the short EAF,
23	reference wetland, on question 13, part one, EAF
24	mapper summary report. When it says here, I know

1	March 1, 2022
2	we get these all the time and maybe I never
3	noticed with other, the digital mapping of all
4	information of local and federal wetlands and
5	water [unintelligible] [00:12:37] is known to be
6	incomplete. Is that standard Chris? It's on the
7	page, next to the last page
8	MR. KEHOE: Is that their language or
9	the applicant's language?
10	MR. FOLEY: No.
11	MR. KEHOE: It's the state's language?
12	MR. FOLEY: I don't know, it's answered
13	as yes, and I don't know whether it's Keith's
14	answer or
15	MR. KEHOE: Well, you're going to refer
16	this back, we'll take a look at the EAF. There
17	are definitely no state or federally regulated
18	wetlands there, and I don't believe there are any
19	town regulated wetlands.
20	MR. STAUDOHAR: No.
21	MR. FOLEY: The word incomplete may be
22	misleading.
23	MR. STAUDOHAR: Well, the EAF mapper is,
24	shows things that could be near, on or like

	Page 1
1	March 1, 2022
2	within a mile of the site.
3	MR. FOLEY: Yeah.
4	MR. STAUDOHAR: So, for like historic
5	things, you know, or endangered species.
6	MR. FOLEY: The word incomplete is used,
7	is normally used as opposed to not known.
8	MR. KEHOE: Yeah, it's used differently
9	in that.
10	MR. FOLEY: Okay. Alright. Okay. That's
11	what caught my attention. Otherwise, I'm okay.
12	MR. ROTHFEDER: And the two trees you're
13	removing, one is a pine? And the other one is a
14	pine too, or?
15	MR. STAUDOHAR: I don't recall.
16	MR. ROTHFEDER: Okay. If you can get
17	that to us. It's just not named on here. One says
18	pine and the other says tree.
19	MR. STAUDOHAR: Yeah, that's the
20	surveyor's description. So, uh
21	MR. ROTHFEDER: Okay. You can get that
22	for us, but the only thing I'm going to ask as we
23	get into it, that the six trees be a little bit
24	larger in caliper than, than the ones you're

1	March 1, 2022
2	planting, like three-and-a-half inch to four.
3	MR. STAUDOHAR: I thought I had three
4	inch, did I not?
5	MR. ROTHFEDER: You had them at three.
6	MR. STAUDOHAR: Oh, you wanted three-
7	and-a-half?
8	MR. ROTHFEDER: Yeah. Because I assume
9	what's coming down are mature trees.
10	MR. STAUDOHAR: Well, the, we'll, we'll
11	check on that.
12	MR. ROTHFEDER: Okay. Alright. Thanks.
13	MR. KEHOE: And the applicant is
14	requesting both preliminary and final approval.
15	You're referring it back for a review memo, but
16	when it gets back to you for your hearings, if it
17	ever gets that far, and you get to the approval
18	stage, you'll be granting it final approval as
19	well as preliminary approval.
20	MR. FOLEY: And the reason I brought up
21	the wetland thing is just that. And that's why
22	maybe I asked about the location of the existing
23	house. As long as there's no property that could
24	be impacted if there is a negative wetland

1	March 1, 2022
2	impact, barring any problems.
3	MR. STAUDOHAR: Yeah, I think we're
4	pretty clear from wetlands up there.
5	MR. FOLEY: Alright.
6	MR. BIANCHI: So, the access is from
7	McManus Road to that easement area?
8	MR. STAUDOHAR: That's the existing
9	access for the existing residence.
10	MR. BIANCHI: Well, what the
11	MR. STAUDOHAR: That will remain. Our
12	new access comes off of Sycamore.
13	MR. KEHOE: Which used to be Radzavilla,
14	which is down at the bottom of the picture.
15	MR. BIANCHI: Oh, I see. Okay. So, it's
16	coming right off Radzavilla.
17	MR. KEHOE: And that road was improved
18	Radzavilla.
19	MR. STAUDOHAR: Yes. It was approved
20	improved I think 22 feet wide, plus or minus and
21	there's a water main going up there to serve the
22	sort of recently constructed houses.
23	MR. FOLEY: Oh, since we were at the
24	site visit?

1	Page 2
2	MR. STAUDOHAR: Yes.
3	MR. FOLEY: Alright.
4	MR. BIANCHI: Okay. We're going to be
5	referring this back. If there's no other
6	comments, Jeff?
7	MR. KIMMERLING: Yeah. I'd like to move
8	that we
9	MR. BIANCHI: George, I'm sorry.
10	MR. KIMMERLING: I'd like to move that
11	we refer this back to staff for a memo.
12	MR. ROTHFEDER: Second.
13	MR. BIANCHI: Okay. On the question, all
14	in favor?
15	MULTIPLE: Aye.
16	MR. BIANCHI: All opposed? Thank you.
17	MR. STAUDOHAR: Thank you, have a good
18	evening.
19	MR. BIANCHI: Our next item under new
20	business is PB 2022-4, application of Gurdjieff,
21	I hope I said that right, Foundation of New York
22	for property of the Danish Home for the Aged for
23	site plan approval and a special permit for a
24	non-school curriculum program use of the property

2.3

located at 1065 Quaker Bridge Road East, survey dated February 11, 2022. Good evening.

MR. MATT STEINBERG: Good evening. Good evening, Mr. Chairman, members of the board, thank you very much. My name is Matt Steinberg.

I'm an associate with DTS Provident. We're planners and engineers and landscape architects, here on behalf of the Gurdjieff Foundation. I'm actually joined by Tom Manning and Joe Giannola, members of the foundation.

We recently submitted an application package to the board. It included an application form, an application narrative that describes the proposed project, a full environmental assessment form, a site access traffic and parking summary and the existing conditions survey that you mentioned.

The property is located on the north side of Quaker Bridge Road East, near the intersection of Quaker Bridge Road. The property is approximately 48 acres, as shown on the aerial photograph. It's located in the R-80 district. We have applied for a site plan, special permit

March 1, 2022

approval for a non-school curriculum program use, which is permitted as a special permit us in the R-80 district.

The existing driveway extends approximately .4 miles into the site, with the main building on the property about a quarter mile in from the entry. The side is, is adjacent to Lakewood Estate property on the east, the old Croton Trail Aqueduct Trailway on the, on the west, single family residence properties on the south and Quaker Bridge Road East and on the north by Con Edison property, which includes existing electric transmission lines.

Approximately two-thirds of the site is wooded. It's predominantly wooded on the western and southern portion of the site. On the northeast portion of the site is the existing main building, along with an existing garage, an existing barn, and some additional outbuildings. There's also some sloping lawn areas, existing parking and drives in that portion of the site. The property was previously the Danish Home, as was mentioned. It was a assisted living facility

March 1, 2022

2

that could accommodate up to 20 residents. It was in operation from 1954 until 2020.

impervious surface on the property. Instead, they

to increase the existing floor area or the

wish to maintain the existing Danish Home

building and grounds for their use, for their

members to meet and to have their program space.

3

The foundation doesn't, does not propose

5

6

O

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

Their programs are proposed throughout the year. They would be generally on weekends, about ten to 15 weekends over the year. These extend from Friday to Sunday. During the summer, they would have some week long programs. Those would be about six to seven of those programs through the year, uh, through the summer. Those generally are Saturday to Saturday or Sunday to Sunday. There would be occasional day activities, which are

9:00 a.m. to about 5:00 p.m. and the occasional

meetings in the evening. Their activities range

from about 20 of their members to 100 members,

to be accommodated overnight. No staff are

proposed on the site with the exception of

with no more proposed then 75 to stay overnight,

2.3

2 potentially a caretaker for the property.

The members themselves, when they arrive for their programs, they take care of their own cooking, housekeeping and general maintenance of the property while they're there for their program and workshops. Otherwise, you know, for other activity or other maintenance, they would use local, local contractors.

We'd be happy to answer any questions if you have, otherwise, we'd be happy to prepare responses to comments you have or based on your professional staff's comments.

MR. BIANCHI: So, what are the site plan changes? Are there any?

MR. STEINBERG: There are no proposed site plan changes at this time now.

MR. BIANCHI: Internally or externally?

MR. STEINBERG: Well, internally,

they'll, the, the foundation will have to work

with the code enforcement and building inspector

for any changes in order to accommodate their

members for what they propose to use. Obviously,

it's not, it is no longer going to be an assisted

1	March 1, 2022
2	living nursing home, so they'll have to work on
3	if there's any changes that are needed in order
4	to accommodate their members. There are no
5	proposed exterior improvements.
6	MR. FOLEY: So, no exterior structural
7	changes or anything?
8	MR. STEINBERG: Right. Unless
9	MR. FOLEY: To the old home? Okay.
10	MR. STEINBERG: None anticipated, you
11	know, no additions.
12	MR. BIANCHI: I'm a little I guess
13	I'm not clear on the non-school curriculum. I
14	know you provided a narrative, which was very
15	helpful.
16	MR. STEINBERG: Mm-hmm.
17	MR. BIANCHI: But maybe for the benefit
18	of the record and for the public, maybe you can
19	describe that, what that really means, non-school
20	curriculum, where you will be holding these,
21	these events during weekends, or possibly longer
22	periodically.
23	MR. STEINBERG: So that definition,
24	there is no definition for that use in the zoning

2.3

code. But for the purposed of the foundation, they would be using the space for, they have meetings, they have readings, they do arts and crafts, they do, you know, different movements and exercises, so those are the kinds of things they would be doing, fellowship with each other, those kinds of activities during their stay.

MR. BIANCHI: And you mentioned that there'll be and out of 20 people, or you said between 20 and 100 participants and currently, the home housed 24 you said?

MR. STEINBERG: It was up to 24. I think it was 22 to 23.

MR. BIANCHI: That's a big jump from 24 to 100, at the worst case I guess maybe. Can it accommodate, I guess my question is can it, can it accommodate that kind of participation?

MR. STEINBERG: Right. So, they believe that it can. You know, the, the Danish Home used these, the rooms that they had for single occupancy for the residents. The foundation believes that, you know, they could use these rooms, since they're not, they won't be living

1 March 1, 2022
2 there, they'll
3 they'll be sta
4 their programs
5 able to use so
6 double occupan
7 own, their own
8 MR. B
9 people.
10 MR. S
11 the max, that'
12 are 24 bedroom

there, they'll be coming for these programs, so they'll be staying overnight and then having their programs during the day, that they would be able to use some of the rooms for, you know, double occupancy, the folks would bring their own, their own bedding, things like that.

MR. BIANCHI: But you're saying up to 75 people.

MR. STEINBERG: To remain overnight at the max, that's what they believe, yes. So, there are 24 bedrooms that were for residents. And then there are a number of, they were staff apartments on the upper level with multiple rooms, so the foundation believes those are the rooms that they were, that they plan to use. Obviously, some of this will be subject to code enforcement and they'll have to work with the building inspector to make sure that all those space can accommodate.

MR. KEHOE: This should sound -- this should sound familiar to you all.

MR. BIANCHI: Yes, indeed.

MR. KEHOE: Yes. It's going to be very

24

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.3

similar circumstances. A lot of what you're talking about is interior renovation to the building, which is governed by the code enforcement office.

MR. STEINBERG: Correct.

MR. KIMMERLING: And just in terms of cooking, participants will make their own food?

MR. STEINBERG: Right.

MR. KIMMERLING: There's no cafeteria, there's no dining hall?

MR. STEINBERG: So, the -- because of the, because of the way the nursing home was set up, there is a -- almost like a small commercial kitchen that was used, and then there are dining spaces, they had two rooms for dining and then there's a couple other open rooms that they would use for their dining purposes. So, the residents, you know, they would select, they would just figure out who -- not the residents, I'm sorry, the foundation members, whichever ones are selected for that particular workshop or program that are doing the cooking, they would select the meals, they would cook the meals, rotate.

1 March 1, 2022 2 MR. KIMMERLING: Oh, in that commercial kitchen? 3 4 MR. STEINBERG: Yes. They would use -there's a kitchen. 5 MR. KIMMERLING: There are not kitchens 6 7 in these apartments? 8 MR. STEINBERG: No, no, no. There's not. 9 They're more, I quess you can almost call them 10 efficiencies. You know, they've got a sink and 11 stuff. But no, they would plan to use the --12 MR. KIMMERLING: I see. MR. STEINBERG: -- the main kitchen. 13 14 MR. KIMMERLING: Alright. And you said 15 the parking needs to accommodate something like up to 500 people? 16 17 MR. STEINBERG: So, the Danish Home had, 18 had some special events. They were able to 19 accommodate numerous cars. They were up to, you 20 know, 500 people, 250 cars for some of the 21 events. And they used some of the fields and some 22 of the parking areas, but they were able to keep 2.3 the cars off of the driveways and that would be

the intention of the foundation. Obviously, all

24

2.3

2 driveways would have to stay clear.

MR. KIMMERLING: And so when you have a calendar like this, say there are a number of programs that start on a Friday and end on a Sunday, or start on a Saturday and end on a Saturday, you have a lot of people coming at once, right, because the programs kind of start at 3:00 on a Friday, like if you went to Omega Institute, for example, or if, you, right. And so, wondering about that intense traffic flow at the beginning of a program if all programs start at the same time, how would you --

MR. STEINBERG: So --

MR. KIMMERLING: -- would you make sure that folks are not queuing and they're being able to get processed and get into their rooms and all that.

MR. STEINBERG: Sure, right. And we can, we can have the foundation help describe that a little further --

MR. KIMMERLING: Okay.

MR. STEINBERG: -- for you, but it is our understanding in speaking with the

Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

March 1, 2022

2

foundation, that, you know, that first night is kind of the arrival time.

4

3

MR. KIMMERLING: Right.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MR. STEINBERG: Folks, depending on their schedules at home, or their work schedules, may arrive, you know, sort of staggered just from the nature of where they're coming from and what their schedules are and not necessarily all concentrated at once. If any of them are coming carpool or if they're picking each other up from the train station and may come based on those schedules. So, the intention is that they would, you know, they would all arrive kind of as, as their schedule dictates on that first night, they would, if they arrive, they could have a meal together, but they would generally start their programs, you know, the following day.

MR. KIMMERLING: And these are, I think you said this but I'm not sure I understood. These are, this is only open to people who are members or fellows or something? It's not like the foundation will publicize like hey, we're having this event and people reading this in The

2.3

Gazette or wherever, The Village Voice, wherever, it probably doesn't exist, can, can just come up and join, sign up here, it's open to the public?

MR. STEINBERG: Sure, and we can, we can speak to the foundation more about the specifics, but I think in general it is from their members whether or not there are, you know, events that the public or open house type things or where others are invited to it would be more limited.

MR. KIMMERLING: Okay. Alright.

MR. KESSLER: So, Chris, so staff will determine whether the adequate number of sleeping areas were projected as well as adequate I guess facilities, bathrooms and things?

MR. KEHOE: Well, once again, it's very similar, right. You're going to have to give us information on the septic, you know, contact with the health department, whether it needs to be expanded, how you're providing water, all of that will be done in the review memo. Once again, it's sort of a slippery slope, but I think it might be helpful, because I don't really know what the inside of that building looks like to give the,

2.3

March 1, 2022

the members the idea of what the dining room looks like now, you know, we'll get to all of that in the review memo, but they have to provide all of that information to get a better understanding. And you have retained a traffic consultant, you know, so we'll have to have some discussion. Maybe we'll have to retain our own traffic consultant to go over some of those details.

MR. ROTHFEDER: And the parking spaced, they've got 30. Is that adequate? Or --

MR. KEHOE: Well, I think as Matt said, the special permit is not defined in our code.

It's permitted, there's language in the code that, that says the words non-school curriculum programming, but unlike other special permits, there's not a whole series of dimensional regulations including parking, so we'll have to determine internally and work with the applicant about an appropriate number of parking spaces. MR

MR. MICHAEL CUNNINGHAM: Our consultant will likely review the ITE manuals and then determine what's appropriate and what's necessary

1 March 1, 2022 2 for the site. 3 MR. ROTHFEDER: Okay. 4 MR. FOLEY: When we have a traffic consultant, I'm sure they will consider 5 activities in that general area the last few 6 7 years with tourists and the dam and all the traffic congestion and parking, that would all 8 9 come into play. And I wondered, I think in your 10 narrative, you may or may not have -- when you 11 cited the major event that happened there years 12 ago, with more than three or 5,000 people, I mean 13 this facility would not -- there's no plans of 14 anything major like that? 15 MR. KEHOE: I think Bob's referencing so 16 many people using the trail and the Croton River? 17 MR. FOLEY: Well, that's the current 18 situation. But I'm also looking, I think here, 19 under traffic on the, on the -- I don't know what 20 the, Provident is the traffic guy? 21 MR. KEHOE: Yes. 22 MR. FOLEY: Yeah, no. 2.3 MR. STEINBERG: It's our firm, yeah. 24 MR. FOLEY: It was a major even there a

1 March 1, 2022 few years ago. I remember it. 2 MR. KEHOE: Well, but I think you said 3 4 500 people was the maximum number at that major 5 Danish Home events, or did you say a higher number? 6 7 MR. FOLEY: No --8 MR. STEINBERG: There was one event, I 9 understand that had about 3,000 folks. 10 MR. FOLEY: That's not [unintelligible] 11 [00:30:26] 12 MR. STEINBERG: That's not the intention 13 for this foundation, no. 14 MR. FOLEY: And the other thing is you 15 have to consider if you -- for those that have 16 been around there, even trying to cross the dam 17 during the peak visiting from the city at times, 18 and all the issues they've had on 129 and then 19 with two, the Quaker Bridge and Quaker Ridge Road 20 with parking and everything, I'm sure the traffic 21 consultant is definitely going to look into that, 22 I hope. It's seasonal, you know. 2.3 MR. BIANCHI: That's my concern too, 24 that when you talk between 20 and 100, that's

1	March 1, 2022
2	very widespread and I'm assuming, and I don't
3	know how many 100 participant events you have. I
4	mean, can you guess is it 20 percent, ten
5	percent, 50 percent?
6	MR. STEINBERG: We can, we can try to
7	define that further for you.
8	MR. BIANCHI: Yeah, because I think that
9	impacts traffic, because you're going to have
10	services coming in and out of there, and Quaker
11	Ridge Road is probably not the best road in the
12	area to handle some of that traffic. So, I'd be
13	looking for that.
14	MR. STEINBERG: Okay. We can try to
15	break down the types of events and the numbers.
16	MR. BIANCHI: Right.
17	MR. KIMMERLING: At some point, if we
18	could have a site visit.
19	MR. STEINBERG: Sure.
20	MR. BIANCHI: Site visit.
21	MR. KEHOE: Usually, we do the site
22	visit after the review memo comes back.
23	MR. KIMMERLING: I'm just putting it out
24	there for whenever. We'll get lost on those roads

	Page 3
1	March 1, 2022
2	again.
3	MR. KEHOE: Yeah, right.
4	MR. BIANCHI: Alright. So, we'll wait
5	for the memo and then we'll schedule the site
6	visit. Okay. Any other comments from the board
7	members?
8	MR. ROTHFEDER: Mr. Chairman, I move
9	that we, planning board declare itself lead agent
10	on this project.
11	MR. KEHOE: Declaring your intent to be
12	lead agent.
13	MR. ROTHFEDER: Sorry, intent to lead
14	agent. Should we vote on that first?
15	MR. BIANCHI: Let's do that first.
16	MR. KESSLER: Second.
17	MR. BIANCHI: On the question, all in
18	favor?
19	MULTIPLE: Aye.
20	MR. KEHOE: And on that point, I'll be
21	circulating to the village of Croton, the New
22	York State Parks Department, because of the
23	proximity to the Gorge trail, county health
24	department, a variety of interested and involved

1	Page 38 March 1, 2022
2	agencies?
3	MR. BIANCHI: All Opposed? No. And your
4	next motion, go ahead.
5	MR. ROTHFEDER: And Mr. Chairman, I move
6	that refer this back to staff.
7	MR. KESSLER: Second.
8	MR. BIANCHI: Okay. On the question, all
9	in favor?
10	MULTIPLE: Aye.
11	MR. BIANCHI: Opposed? Okay.
12	MR. STEINBERG: Thank you very much.
13	MR. BIANCHI: Have a good evening. Okay.
14	We're moving into the next section of the agenda,
15	old business, PB 6-15, application of Hudson
16	Ridge Wellness Center, Inc., for site development
17	plan approval and a special permit for a hospital
18	to be located on the former Hudson Institute
19	property to provide a New York State Office of
20	Addition Services and Support (OASAS) a certified
21	92-bed facility to treat individuals with
22	chemical dependency issues located at 2016 Quaker
23	Ridge. Drawings latest revision November 10,
24	2021. Mr. Davis?

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

1

2

MR. DAVIS: Good evening, thank you. I'm Bob Davis for the applicant. WE understand that tonight the board is considering its determination of significance under SEQRA for this action, and I'll just be very brief. But we would respectfully submit that after some seven years of extensive submissions and review, we fully justified a negative declaration that there will be no significant adverse environmental impacts.

As you know, importantly, this application involves no new building construction. Only the long existing buildings which were used for over 60 years for various institutional purposes will be used and the applicant has agreed recently that there will be no expansion of those buildings in any way going forward. There'll be no demonstrable change in the appearance of the site, or historic Quaker Ridge Road and no sensitive environmental road such as wetlands, buffers or steep slopes are being affected, no trees are being removed.

I'll take this opportunity just to

2.3

briefly take you through some other bullet points with respect to SEQRA, and which can lead to no other reasonable determination. We would submit under the enumerated SEQRA criteria for significance. Those criteria use descriptions such as substantial adverse change, increase, interference or impairment, material conflict or hazard to human health, none of which we would submit are, are applicable here.

The applicant's prior exhaustive analysis of the environmental issues was based, as you know, on a maximum of 92 patient beds and a staff of 86. The scope of the application, significantly, has now been cut by 43 percent to a maximum of 52 patients or such lesser maximum as OASAS, the licensing agency would require with a similar cut in the maximum staff to 50.

The town's own independent consultants, long ago, accepted the applicant's traffic analysis including with respect to the fact that there will be no change in the level of service at the intersections and no other significant adverse impacts including safety impacts. The

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

town's own consultants also long ago approached and agreed with the applicant's unprecedented, expansive off-site well testing study, which concluded that even when pumping water at the property at twice the maximum daily rate, which was approved by the health department and doing that continuously for 72 hours, which would never happen, and without reference to the applicant's water storage tank, even then only two wells were very minimally affected to the extent that it would not reduce their function, even under those extreme conditions. And moreover, the town consultant, its professional staff and the county health department have signed off on the applicant's voluntary post-approval well monitoring program, which again, is rather extensive in its provisions.

Also, in consultation with the adjoining neighbors, and its landscape architect, the applicant has provided extensive evergreen screening along its northerly boundary, you know, in consultation with the, with the actual neighbors there. That includes some 140 eight to

2.3

14 foot trees, but while still adhering to its initial representation to the board that in order to further protect the nearby wells, it will not employ, it will not employ and irrigation system or use its on-site wells for irrigating those new plantings, but will utilize a combination of small water trucks, which has been presented to you by the landscape architect, along with rain collection techniques.

In further consultation with the neighbors and their architectural consultants, the applicant has significantly revised its lighting plan with extensive mitigation measures to eliminate any significant impacts with respects to lighting. The applicant has also proposed a new state of the art septic system to replace the old one on the site, which has been approved by the county health department, and that was approved to serve 92 patients. Now, it is going to be substantially downsized and you have a report from Mr. Mastromonaco on that.

The applicant's experts have pointed out, as agreed to by the town's own experts, that

2.3

there will be no impact whatsoever on the Indian Brook Watershed. In fact, only a portion of the active larger system to serve the 92 patients would have been located in the watershed, not in the critical environmental area, however, but in the far periphery of the watershed. But now with the reduced size, no portion of the active system will even be located at all in the watershed.

The applicant has stated from the outset that it would place a restrictive covenant on its affiliates adjoining 28-acre parcel to maintain its existing open space so long as the subject property is used as a hospital. And of course, the applicant will preserve the existing 75 percent of its own 20-acre property, which currently consists of open space.

The applicant terminated its access easement over an adjoining parcel and has since sold that parcel to a third party for a regular single family residential use. There will be no additional school children generated by this application. However, the applicant has projected more than \$500,000 in new annual property taxes,

2.3

which is a tenfold increase over the current taxes.

The applicant has otherwise agreed to buttress its applicant with dozens of conditions of approval to further mitigate and eliminate possible environmental impacts. On February 16th, the applicant submitted to you yet another in a series of large volumes, this one to address the most recent public comment from January and also to enumerate the dozens of conditions and mitigative measures.

So, the applicant has demonstrated by all of this, that its specialty hospital will actually have less environmental impacts than other permitted uses, such as educational, religious, government, recreation or even less than a 20 or more lot residential subdivision, which it could do theoretically on its two adjoining properties, the one was 50 acres.

So based on all of those facts and many more, we feel we've clearly demonstrated that there will be no significant adverse impact on the community or on the neighborhood character,

2.3

and we've provided ample detailed discussion on that issue and from one of our lengthier discussions in that regard, just for example, see Appendix 66 to our February submission, which was actually first submitted to you in January of 2019.

While as the board knows its determination is based on the expert evidence and facts before it, not on the objections of the public, we have been working very diligently for a number of months now with the neighborhood group, making a lot of progress we feel, and we'll continue to do so in the interest of clarity and transparency. We hope that with the substantial scope, reduction in scope we've made in the application and our acceptance of substantially all of the conditions that have been asked of us, we can arrive ultimately and expeditiously at a mutually satisfactory conclusion.

So, on that basis, we would respectfully request that the board do issue a negative declaration which we believe is fully, has fully

2.3

supported by the record before this board, as I think any negative declaration from any application of magnitude ever could be.

If you would wish, although many are not relevant, I can briefly take you through each and every one of the SEQRA criteria. But JMC, our consultant, has done that at length, in Appendix 31 to its March 2019 submission, in volume four of that, which it's summarized in the executive summary in volume one of that submission, and which it's summarized again in Appendix 43 of our August 2021 submission, and Appendix 65 of our most recent submission in February.

With me tonight on Zoom is our traffic expert, Rich Pearson of JMC and his colleagues,
Bob Peak on Zoom and Kevin Masciovecchio is here in person on traffic matters. Our engineer who, for example, designed our septic, Ralph
Mastromonaco is also here in person. And they're available to you if you wish to ask any questions or to give a brief presentation on recent updates to the matters and plans within their purview.
Thank you.

1	March 1, 2022
2	MR. BIANCHI: Thank you. Board comments
3	or questions?
4	MR. KIMMERLING: I have a series of
5	questions. Most of them, if you'll be patient,
6	are really just for me to understand sort of what
7	the final facts are.
8	MR. DAVIS: Yes.
9	MR. KIMMERLING: Going through all of
10	the different
11	MR. DAVIS: Sure.
12	MR. KIMMERLING: items.
13	MR. DAVIS: A lot has changed.
14	MR. KIMMERLING: Okay. I wrote my
15	questions out. Some of them are long, but I think
16	the answers wouldn't be long.
17	MR. DAVIS: Okay.
18	MR. KIMMERLING: Okay. So, we'll start
19	at the top. Under the about us section of your
20	website, HudsonEducationandWellness.com, you
21	state that the specialty hospital's program
22	philosophy focuses on the following elements and
23	there are 13 elements listed. So, among those are

the proper balance of medical care, psychosocial

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

and psychological care, monthly weekend family programs, minimized but appropriate use of psychoactive medications, skills building training and strong relaxation components, that is, quote, acupuncture, massage, yoga, meditation exercise, etc. Can you indicate, you don't have to do it now, on the floor plans that you've provided, the facilities for these services, including for medical care. As you know a medical exam room is required for this kind of facility. For family programs, sufficient space for 25 percent of the clients and their families who will have such programs weekly, skills building and space for acupuncture, massage and yoga. I didn't see those on the floor plans. There were some group rooms, but nothing that really --

MR. DAVIS: Well, I think the --

MR. KIMMERLING: -- called out as these particular rooms.

MR. DAVIS: -- I think the rooms were, were not specifically designated --

MR. KIMMERLING: Okay.

MR. DAVIS: -- for those uses, but those

24

	$D_{\alpha} \propto 10^{-1}$
1	Page 49 March 1, 2022
2	rooms, which are not patient rooms or offices,
3	but are generally designated as group rooms would
4	be used for those, for those types of uses.
5	MR. KIMMERLING: Including medical
6	exams?
7	MR. DAVIS: Oh, of course. And of
8	course, medical exam could also take place in
9	patient rooms.
10	MR. KIMMERLING: Okay. Great. So, no
11	specific medical exam room necessarily? But
12	medical exams could take place in other rooms?
13	MR. DAVIS: Yes. Yes.
14	MR. KIMMERLING: Okay. Alright. I
15	thought that in Appendix 54 there was something
16	that a medical exam room was required. It seems
17	like there was a specific
18	MR. DAVIS: Well, certainly they're
19	going to refine with the, given the limitation
20	on bedding, which will be a constant, they'll be,
21	you know, they'll be working out
22	MR. KIMMERLING: Sure.
23	MR. DAVIS: the specifics of the room
24	use. Otherwise, in the context of further

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

refining their floor plan and, and dealing with the requirements of OASAS for that.

MR. KIMMERLING: Okay. Great. Thanks.

The website --

MR. DAVIS: But they should have more space by the way, now that they've significantly reduced the number of patients.

MR. KIMMERLING: Yeah. That's a great point. So, the website says, as I mentioned, that one of the things to be provided is the minimized but appropriate use of psychoactive medications. In Brian Baldwin's 10/16/19 present to the Zoning Board of Appeals, he states that Hudson Wellness, and I quote, will offer medication assisted treatment to help these patients to address their withdrawal symptoms and potential cravings associated with them. Patients will receive symptom relief and/or addition medications such as SUBOXONE, VIVITROL, buprenorphine, which I'm not pronouncing right, and naltrexone for opiate withdrawal and lithium, Ativan and valium for alcohol withdrawal. Yet, in the applicant's list of stated conditions for its conditional negative

2.3

declaration, the applicant states, quote, there will be no onsite pharmacy at the facility, as no medications for the treatment of addictions will be utilized or stored onsite. Onsite medications would include only patient personal medication prescribed to them by their personal physicians and brought into the hospital with them at admission. Can you explain what seems to be the conflict between the administration of certain medications and then no medications would be permitted except the ones that people bring in?

MR. DAVIS: We'll have -- what we'll do because I'm not qualified, as a, as a medical professional, I'll ask --

MR. KIMMERLING: Me neither.

MR. DAVIS: -- I'll ask Brian Baldwin --

MR. KIMMERLING: Okay.

MR. DAVIS: -- to get you a prompt response on that.

MR. KIMMERLING: Okay. The next couple of questions, unfortunately --

MR. DAVIS: I know there won't be a pharmacy.

2.3

MR. KIMMERLING: Yeah, okay. Thanks. The next couple of questions are about the OASAS process, just so I can fully understand it. One of the reasons I'm interested is that the OASAS process and the way to proceed with that agency provides information that even at its earliest stages, can help us understand the specific use and the intensity of the use at the site. So, has the applicant engaged in even the specified prior consultation phase through OASAS, that certification proposal attachment 1A form?

MR. DAVIS: Well, I think that there's, there's been some debate among certain consultants as to what is required and what isn't. I know that there -- as you know, we've given you quite a few of the expert submissions that were in the zoning, used in zoning board proceeding, because they, they went over this type of material in, in great detail. The, any specific question on that, we can easily get you the answer to. But we've given you the communications with OASAS. We filled out an initial questionnaire, as pointed out in the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

recent submission of communications with them. But the applicant has always taken the position that, that number one, it's putting the cart before the horse to go too far with OASAS. OASAS approval and licensing would be a condition of approval to which the applicant of course has stipulated, you know, from the outset. And the applicant will be fully prepared to go through that process. And, for example, when we say the maximum of, of 52 patients, OASAS may theoretically limit that further, in which case, we would limit that. We've also raised the possibility, not to get into legal things, but we think it's beyond the legal purview of the board to get too far into the internal operations.

MR. KIMMERLING: Sure.

MR. DAVIS: We think that it doesn't really relate to site planning aspects or impacts on the community like traffic or well use or that type of thing.

MR. KIMMERLING: I was just wondering if you --

MR. DAVIS: We can get you, you know,

1 March 1, 2022 2 whatever, if you want to give us a list of questions about OASAS, we'll promptly get you the 3 4 answers for those. 5 MR. KIMMERLING: Okay. Yeah, I was just specifically wondering if you had filled out that 6 7 attachment 1A form and sent that in as part of the prior consultation [unintelligible] 8 9 [00:49:20]? 10 MR. DAVIS: Well, they had sent a 11 questionnaire that our client submitted I think, 12 I forget in, in a couple of the memos that were 13 included in our recent February submissions. MR. KIMMERLING: Yeah, I saw those, 14 15 yeah. 16 MR. DAVIS: That they had, that they had 17 filled that out and been in contact. 18 MR. KIMMERLING: Okay, yeah. I did 19 20 21

22

2.3

24

didn't see this form, so I was just curious. In his May 4, 2021, statement to the planning board, Brian Baldwin stated, quote, OASAS wanted us to do our best to resolve these local issues before conducting the prior consultation process. Was the decision not to engage in the OASAS prior

1	March 1, 2022
2	consultation process made on the basis of
3	specific feedback or communication from OASAS as
4	I understand he's implying here? And if so, can
5	we have a copy of that communication or e-mail or
6	feedback?
7	MR. DAVIS: Could you repeat that, Mr.
8	Kimmerling, exactly what you need?
9	MR. KIMMERLING: Yeah, sure. Yeah. In
10	his May 4, 2021, statement to the planning board,
11	sorry, it's in one of these volumes, Brian
12	Baldwin stated, quote, OASAS wanted us to do our
13	best to resolve these local issues before
14	conducting the prior consultation process.
15	MR. DAVIS: Mm-hmm.
16	MR. KIMMERLING: And I'm wondering if we
17	can have a copy of whatever communication or
18	feedback OASAS [unintelligible] [00:50:29] which
19	they asked you
20	MR. DAVIS: Sure. I think we've I
21	think we've
22	MR. KIMMERLING: to do that first.
23	MR. DAVIS: give you all written
24	communications

1	March 1, 2022
2	MR. KIMMERLING: Yes.
3	MR. DAVIS: but I will check on that.
4	MR. KIMMERLING: Right. And I didn't see
5	it anywhere, so.
6	MR. DAVIS: No, but a lot, but a
7	certain, a certain amount of it had to do with
8	individual conversations that were had.
9	MR. KIMMERLING: Okay.
10	MR. DAVIS: And you'll see for example
11	Frank Cicero's report in our September 3rd
12	submission, which is again included in, in the
13	February submission. I can have them elaborate on
14	their, on their oral discussions with OASAS.
15	MR. KIMMERLING: Yeah, I was just
16	curious
17	MR. DAVIS: But a lot of these, you know
18	
19	MR. KIMMERLING: whether OASAS said
20	don't come to us yet, or whether you decided not
21	to go them first. It seems like he's saying OASAS
22	said don't bother us until the local issues are
23	resolved.
24	MR. DAVIS: I don't think

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MR. KIMMERLING: Or was it that you guys decided --

MR. DAVIS: -- I don't think that was the case, but they, they want, I don't think they affirmatively said that, although I will check. But I think what they were aware, because they contacted us due to newspaper articles about the issue, they contacted us at least twice as we pointed out because of reports that were false that we were already operating. They had had inquires from Brian Baldwin and Frank Cicero because they wanted, they were seeking clarification of the licensure that was required. So, there were quite a few, a fair amount of communications with them. And some of them, some of them were oral in nature because the people know each other and have been working with each other. Our client also has a consultant that they've dealt with that's dealt with OASAS that is an expert on the licensing, the licensing process out of Albany. So, if you a list of these questions, and again, I would respectfully submit that they don't really have to do with your

2.3

board's purview. They're going to be a condition of approval any more than you approve septic systems, which is in the county health department's purview. But again, we'll, in the interest of cooperation and transparency, if you have some of those questions, we'll be happy to endeavor to answer them.

MR. KIMMERLING: Okay. I'll move on then. I just wanted to clarify. There's been some talk about whether there'll be no expansion of the footprint of the buildings.

MR. DAVIS: I can clarify that definitively. That was just lack of clarity in the discussion between --

MR. KIMMERLING: Okay.

MR. DAVIS: -- the principals of the two groups. I would state as we, as we did today, you know, the principals, as I said. The neighborhood group is, you know, working with our client directly through counsel. We've initiated some discussions. But I can say unequivocally that there'll be no expansion not only of the footprint but in any way, whether vertically or

2.3

internal square footage there'll be -- the applicant's intent is to stipulate that there will be no expansion of any kind.

MR. KIMMERLING: Okay.

MR. DAVIS: Nor will the applicant seek to operate any other facilities in the Teatown area. They've stipulated to the group on that, nor will they try to operate any other non-residential facility of any type in the Teatown area.

MR. KIMMERLING: Okay. Great.

MR. DAVIS: So, there'll be no expansion, again, only the existing buildings.

MR. KIMMERLING: Okay. Great, thanks. On the use of the van to shuttle employees, I just wanted to clarify, Mr. Grauer's letter of January 25, 2022, stated that nonprofessional staff will use the shuttle. Sometimes however, the statements have been said that, you know, a substantial number of employees will use the shuttle. Just curious, under the lower number of beds proposed --

MR. DAVIS: Right.

Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

2.3

MR. KIMMERLING: I think you said today that the anticipated staff level would be about 50.

MR. DAVIS: Right. And we've prepared a new chart as of today, which if we, if we want, one of our traffic consultants to take you through that, it's a very limited number of vehicle trips, because of the limited, the now limited number of patients and staff. But we refined that in a chart that we did today, that of course the trips are, are somewhat less than they were with the, with the 92 patients, because the staff will be less by about 36.

MR. KIMMERLING: Okay. What percentage of the 50 employees will be required to take the van?

MR. DAVIS: I think, I think that's broken down in our most recent report and I can ask our traffic people to do that, but the general concept is that the nonprofessional staff will use the vans, whereas people like doctors, you know, would not use that. They would be coming mostly at the 9:00 o'clock hour, those

1 March 1, 2022 2 people --MR. KIMMERLING: And the doctors are 3 4 included in the employee [unintelligible] 5 [00:55:15].MR. DAVIS: Yeah, and again, I don't 6 7 want to, I don't want to speak glibly because we did make a chart today --8 9 MR. KIMMERLING: Okav. 10 MR. DAVIS: -- which we can, which we 11 can probably put up for you. You know, I have a 12 copy, a part of it here. 13 MR. KIMMERLING: And then in terms of 14 other service providers and massage therapists, 15 acupuncturists, yoga instructors, are they also 16 included in that total of 50? 17 MR. DAVIS: Yeah, we have a breakdown by 18 the way, Mr. Masciovecchio is telling me that 19 currently we have a breakdown of about, of 60, 20 about 60 percent use the shuttle and about 40 21 percent drive. And that's, that's roughly the 22 breakdown between nonprofessional and 2.3 professional employees. 24 MR. KIMMERLING: So, 60 percent of

1	March 1, 2022
2	employees will be required to take the shuttle?
3	MR. DAVIS: Oh, absolutely, as a
4	condition of employment, yes.
5	MR. KIMMERLING: Okay.
6	MR. DAVIS: And we do, we do have a
7	chart, a couple of charts actually, that we've
8	updated to give you that information.
9	MR. KIMMERLING: Okay.
10	MR. DAVIS: Which we can, which we can
11	provide to you promptly.
12	MR. KIMMERLING: Okay.
13	MR. DAVIS: After the meeting, or we can
14	take you through it now, at your, at your wish.
15	MR. KIMMERLING: Great. And then that,
16	that total of 50 includes all service providers,
17	massage therapists, room inspectors.
18	MR. DAVIS: That's everyone who works
19	there.
20	MR. KIMMERLING: Okay. So, there could
21	be additional people who come in to provide
22	services who don't actually work there, they're
23	not quote employees
24	MR. DAVIS: No, we're not anticipating

1	March 1, 2022
2	that. We're anticipating that the staff is the
3	staff.
4	MR. KIMMERLING: Okay.
5	MR. DAVIS: The staff breaks down into,
6	you know, physicians, there has to be a medical
7	director, nursing staff, other licensed
8	professionals such as social workers and
9	psychologists.
10	MR. KIMMERLING: Yeah.
11	MR. DAVIS: And then more of the
12	assistant staff that don't have the professional
13	licensing.
14	MR. KIMMERLING: Okay. Maybe engineers,
15	people that keep the plant running? All those
16	kinds of folks?
17	MR. DAVIS: There'll be
18	MR. KIMMERLING: All the people you need
19	to run a place like this.
20	MR. DAVIS: Yeah, but not, but, but
21	really as a regular rule, it's self contained by
22	the 50 staff members that are there. We're not
23	going to have a lot of people, you know,
24	consultants coming in and out. OASAS makes

	Daga 6
1	Page 6 March 1, 2022
2	inspections, you know, there may be occasional
3	visits by people, but in terms of, you know,
4	significant usage of vehicles at the facility.
5	MR. KIMMERLING: Right.
6	MR. DAVIS: And of course, there's
7	visitors, 25 percent can, which means about 12
8	now
9	MR. KIMMERLING: Yes.
10	MR. DAVIS: can have visitors on any
11	given weekend in the month.
12	MR. KIMMERLING: Right. Okay. Thanks, I
13	promise I'm almost finished.
14	MR. DAVIS: Okay.
15	MR. KIMMERLING: The applicant has
16	stated in numerous submissions that clients will
17	not be quote, permitted to leave the grounds.
18	MR. DAVIS: Right.
19	MR. KIMMERLING: Can you indicate,
20	either where in previous submissions or on site
21	plan documents, where there are any security
22	measures that are planned or contemplated to
23	ensure this?
24	MR. DAVIS: Right. I think our, I think

1	March 1, 2022
2	our original submission says that there will,
3	included in the 50 is some security people on
4	site. There won't be people with guns or anything
5	of that nature.
6	MR. KIMMERLING: That's comforting.
7	MR. DAVIS: You know, there'll be, you
8	know, the local police will be provided with a
9	24-hour contact line as will the town. So, we
10	don't anticipate any
11	MR. KIMMERLING: No additional fencing
12	or everything that's on the site plan,
13	everything is
14	MR. DAVIS: I think there will be
15	adequate security for this type of facility.
16	We're not talking about, you know, a criminal
17	situation where people are trying
18	MR. KIMMERLING: Yeah, yeah, I wasn't
19	implying that.
20	MR. DAVIS: where people are trying
21	to escape. These people are there voluntarily.
22	MR. KIMMERLING: Yeah, they're
23	voluntarily, but they're not permitted to leave.
24	Okay. The, there's a lights out at 10:30. Does

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

that mean everyone has to be inside at 10:30? Or can people be whenever they want?

MR. DAVIS: No. I mean if the lights are out, the people, the people have to be inside.

MR. KIMMERLING: Have to be inside.

MR. DAVIS: People will not be, you know, walking around the grounds at that, at that hour. And we, we you know, we further have, have clarified some aspects today, that lights will be out, certainly in the building along the northerly boundary line. There may be some lights on in buildings one and seven, the main buildings, but they're going to have blackout shades to mitigate there, and there'll be hallway lighting and things like that for safety, for safety purposes. But those are the ones that are over 300 feet from the nearest residence, they will have blackout shades that will be provided. And there's a lot of other things we've done with respect to lighting in Mr. Masciovecchio's photometric plan as it's been revised.

MR. KIMMERLING: Great. Thanks. Two more questions. Just on the well monitoring. The

2.3

applicant states if long term monitoring were to unexpectedly demonstrate any significant interference from their proposed specialty hospital wells, mitigation options, including but not limited to lowering the homeowner pump or deepening their well would be evaluated and implemented. Who would perform that evaluation and who would develop, approve and pay for the mitigation?

MR. DAVIS: Well, the, the well monitoring plan, the general aspects of it were specified, I believe it was in Appendix 25, among some others to the March 2019 submission that, that may --

MR. KIMMERLING: Yeah, submission 64 also relates.

MR. DAVIS: -- and that would be, you know, that, I think that's provided that there'll be regular reporting to the town as a condition of approval. There were only -- it's not as if it's expected to be some type of large scale or even an occurrence, because only two wells were affected of the extreme conditions. They, they

2.3

had a -- I can't remember the exact amount, but probably 100 feet left even after their wells went down under those extreme conditions by 18 and 24 feet respectively, they had probably 100 feet left over their well pump. There was no discernible impact on them and that was under conditions -- and don't forgot, those well pump statistics, the, the methodology of the, of the pumping and all of the, the statistics related to a water usage of over 12,000 gallons a day, I think 12,500 gallons a day, but that was based on the 43 percent larger patient beds. So that's, it's even less likely --

MR. KIMMERLING: Sure.

MR. DAVIS: -- than it was in the first case that the well monitoring is ever going to indicate any, any issues whatever.

MR. KIMMERLING: Right.

MR. DAVIS: But they'll be monitored in the same way they were done for the well monitoring plan, but you know, for a period of over two-and-a-half years I believe.

MR. KIMMERLING: Right. It just says

1	March 1, 2022
Τ.	March 1, 2022
2	that mitigation options would be evaluated and
3	implemented, and I'm curious who's going to
4	MR. DAVIS: At the applicant's expense.
5	MR. KIMMERLING: Okay. You guys will pay
6	for the implementation and all?
7	MR. DAVIS: Oh, absolutely.
8	MR. KIMMERLING: Okay, great. One last
9	question. So, you've included in these volumes,
10	right, at one point a list of stipulated
11	conditions, quote, a list of stipulated
12	conditions for a conditional negative
13	declaration.
14	MR. DAVIS: Right.
15	MR. KIMMERLING: As well as a SEQRA
16	summary report for a conditioned negative
17	declaration.
18	MR. DAVIS: Right.
19	MR. KIMMERLING: Does this mean that you
20	believe that a conditioned neg dec is
21	appropriate, rather than a general or blanket neg
22	dec?
23	MR. DAVIS: We, we believe we're
24	entitled to a negative dec, but we have always

1	March 1, 2022
2	raised from the beginning, we left that
3	alternative open of a conditioned neg dec because
4	after all, we've stipulated to literally dozens -
5	_
6	MR. KIMMERLING: Right, conditions.
7	MR. DAVIS: of conditions.
8	MR. KIMMERLING: Right.
9	MR. DAVIS: And a neg dec based on those
10	conditions is something that would certainly be
11	acceptable.
12	MR. KIMMERLING: Okay. Great. Thank you,
13	thanks for your patience.
14	MR. DAVIS: Thank you.
15	MR. KIMMERLING: Thank you for your
16	patience.
17	MR. BIANCHI: Thank you, George. Other
18	members, any comments?
19	MR. KESSLER: Yeah, Mr. Davis, so just
20	in terms of timing, what has to happen, in your
21	mind, for you to go to OASAS? What, what's your
22	timing on actually making an application to
23	OASAS?
24	MR. DAVIS: The timing that we've been

2.3

fairly consistent in stating is that we are wanting to get the, at least some meaningful approval from the boards, you know, probably the variance from the zoning board. That can't take place until, you know, after your board makes a SEQRA determination one way or the other.

MR. KESSLER: So, if we make a SEQRA determination and you go to the zoning board and the zoning board, let's for argument's sake, says, you know, approves that, then you will go to OASAS, even though we still have an open site plan consideration?

MR. DAVIS: Well, I would talk to the, I would talk to the applicant about that. But that, I would clarify that with my, with my applicant.

MR. KESSLER: Okay. So, here's my, here's my issue. You know, having lived through this all these years. I'm reluctant to sign off on the site plan, and this goes back to your cart before the horse comment.

MR. DAVIS: Right.

MR. KESSLER: I'm reluctant to sign off on a site plan without exactly knowing what OASAS

2.3

wants in terms of a program. I know what you think the program should be. But that's different from what OASAS may say and they may want you to put in other programs that you haven't considered. You know, be absurd, they want you to put in a zip line. I don't know what they want. And I'm not an expert on this.

MR. DAVIS: Right. Nor am I.

MR. KESSLER: So, it's hard for me to say the site plan has no impact, you know, you know, that there's no impacts in a neg dec without knowing exactly what OASAS is going to say and approve. You know, for example, we had this discussion over these many months, you know, about family therapy. That to me is, as I know it, an important part of any one of these programs.

MR. DAVIS: Mm-hmm.

MR. KESSLER: And you seem to think it's not going to happen, or it'll happen when they come in for their weekly, monthly visits.

MR. DAVIS: Well, a lot of --

MR. KESSLER: OASAS may say I want a

1 March 1, 2022 2 family therapy visit every week. MR. DAVIS: Well, I --3 4 MR. KESSLER: That's going to change the 5 impacts. MR. DAVIS: -- I think, an again, I'm 6 7 not purporting to be an expert, but one can envision in this day and age that much of this 8 9 activity is done via Zoom, just as medical 10 appointments are done today. So, I would 11 anticipate that. But in speaking to one of our 12 client's representative, I think it's fair to say 13 that if we have a SEQRA determination and, and we 14 have our variance, in the context of that, trying 15 to run it concurrently with, with the site plan 16 review process, I believe that would be something 17 that could be done. 18 MR. KESSLER: Okay. That's something I 19 could accept also. 20 MR. DAVIS: Okay.

> MR. BIANCHI: Okay. Thank you. Any other comments from board members? So as was stated, tonight, we're going to be considering, or making a motion to prepare a negative dec for this

21

22

2.3

24

2.3

application. Now, just to be clear, what that means is that it's not -- it does not mean that we're going to be voting yes or no on that tonight, or on a subsequent meeting where we consider it on the April 5th meeting. We will consider that application but it's not an indication of whether the board is going to vote one way or the other. We have to move this application along procedurally, and one way of doing that is to vote on something. And we decided to vote on that, and then next month, we will consider that, that resolution, or neg dec whether we agree with it or not.

And then, depending on what happens then, it will go to the zoning board, as was stated, and the zoning board will consider their questions regarding state road and other zoning issues. And then potentially, after that, it'll come back to us for site plan review and approval if necessary. So, I just want to be clear with that, that we're going to be directing staff to prepare a negative declaration tonight for consideration at the next meeting.

2.3

MR. ROTHFEDER: And even when it comes

back for site plan approval, because as Steve

just said, there may be some issues in the site

plan that affect the environmental issues or

other local issues. We'd take them up again -
MR. BIANCHI: Right.

MR. ROTHFEDER: -- and revisit them, depending on the final site plan.

MR. BIANCHI: Yeah, we may --

MR. KEHOE: But I'd like to confirm with Tom Wood, but I think what Steve said was critical, that the OASAS path and the site plan path, if you ever get that far, have to come together because of the issues Steve raised with respect to if OASAS wants more family counseling or more medicine or whatever it is, that it's got to be, those have got to be brought together. And I'll confirm with the legal department.

MR. DAVIS: I think we've indicated, I think we've indicated that we will pursue it in that, in that manner.

MR. ROTHFEDER: But it also goes beyond OASAS too, depending on what the site plan looks

1	March 1, 2022
2	like, which we really don't, don't have a final
3	site plan. And that's
4	MR. KEHOE: Right. And it
5	MR. ROTHFEDER: for down the road.
6	MR. KEHOE: Right. And as we discussed,
7	the landscaping, you may want changes to the
8	landscaping, the lighting, a whole variety of
9	things.
10	MR. BIANCHI: Right. Exactly. There are
11	a lot of impacts that could be affected by that
12	decision on OASAS. So yes, we will be revisiting
13	a lot of that if, if we get the application back
14	for site plan review. Okay. Any other comments
15	before we move ahead with a motion?
16	MR. KESSLER: Okay, so I'll move that we
17	have staff prepare a neg dec, I'm sure there's
18	going to be a lot of art in the writing of that,
19	based upon what we said tonight for a vote, and
20	we'll see how that vote goes at the next meeting.
21	MR. BIANCHI: Okay. Thank you. Second?
22	MR. FOLEY: Second.
23	MR. BIANCHI: Okay, we have second. On
24	the question, all in favor?

Geneva Worldwide, Inc. 256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

1	March 1, 2022
2	item on the agenda, could I have a motion to
3	adjourn?
4	MS. DECKER: Mr. Vice-Chairman, motion
5	to adjourn at 8:10 p.m.
6	MR. BIANCHI: Thank you. Second.
7	MR. KIMMERLING: Second.
8	MR. KESSLER: No, you don't need a
9	second on an adjournment.
10	MR. BIANCHI: Okay. All in favor?
11	MULTIPLE: Aye.
12	MR. KESSLER: Under Roberts Rules of
13	Order, anyone can make a motion to adjourn at any
14	time. We've got to try that some time.
15	(The public board meeting concluded at
16	8:10 p.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Claudia Marques, certify that the foregoing transcript of the board meeting of the Town of Cortlandt on March 1, 2022 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

Claudia Marques

Date: March 18, 2022

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

256 West 38th Street - 10th Floor

New York, NY 10018